1	
2	TOWN OF BROOKHAVEN
3	INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT AGENCY
4	x
5	BOARD MEETING
6	HELD BY CONFERENCE CALL
7	x
8	May 13, 2020 12:23 p.m.
9	12.25 p.m.
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	* * *
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	
2	APPEARANCES:
3	
4	MEMBERS:
5	FREDERICK C. BRAUN, III MARTIN CALLAHAN
6	SCOTT MIDDLETON GARY POLLAKUSKY
7	ANN-MARIE SCHEIDT FRANK C. TROTTA
8	
9	ALSO PRESENT:
10	LISA M.G. MULLIGAN, CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICEF LORI LAPONTE, CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER
11	JAMES M. TULLO, DEPUTY DIRECTOR JOCELYN LINSE, EXECUTIVE ASSISTANT TERRI ALKON, ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT
12	AMY ILLARDO, ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT ANNETTE EADERESTO, ESQ., AGENCY COUNSEL
13	
14	WEINBERG GROSS & PERGAMENT, LLP
15	
16	
17	* * *
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1		
- 1		

2	MR. BRAUN: We'll move on to the
3	Industrial Development Agency.
4	Lisa, do you want to just list the
5	members that are on the call again for the
6	purpose of the Industrial Development Agency?
7	MS. MULLIGAN: Sure.
8	So present at this meeting we have Fred
9	Braun, Marty Callahan, Frank Trotta, Ann-Marie
10	Scheidt, Gary Pollakusky, Scott Middleton,
11	Annette Eaderesto, Terri Alkon, Lori LaPonte,
12	Bill Weir, Howard Gross, Amy Illardo, Jim
13	Tullo and Jocelyn Linse.
14	MR. BRAUN: Therefore, a quorum is
15	present.
16	MS. MULLIGAN: Thank you.
17	MR. BRAUN: The minutes have been
18	circulated previously, I'll entertain a motion
19	to accept.
20	MR. POLLAKUSKY: So moved.
21	MR. BRAUN: Second?
22	MS. SCHEIDT: Second.
23	MR. BRAUN: Just two minor corrections.
24	On page nine, lines 23 and 24 and on
25	page ten, line 12, I think the Store Company

1 2 should be capitalized so that when we look back at this three years from now, we'll know it's part of the chain of ownership of the 5 corporation. 6 Are there any other changes or corrections? 8 (No response.) 9 MR. BRAUN: Hearing none, Mr. Braun 10 votes yes. Ms. Scheidt? 11 12 MS. SCHEIDT: Yes. 13 MR. BRAUN: Mr. Callahan? MR. CALLAHAN: Yes. 14 15 MR. BRAUN: Mr. Middleton? 16 MR. MIDDLETON: Yes. 17 MR. BRAUN: Mr. Pollakusky? 18 MR. POLLAKUSKY: Yes. 19 MR. BRAUN: Mr. Trotta? 20 MR. TROTTA: Yes. 21 MR. BRAUN: Motion carries, thank you. 22 Lori LaPonte, would you please give the 23 CFO's report? 24

MS. LaPONTE: Okay.

Included in the package is the results

2	actual versus budget January through
3	April 2020. During the month of April, we had
4	three refinances. Also, I did want to point
5	out other than the recurring expenditures, we
6	did get a notification from our bank that they
7	dropped our interest rate again from 40 basis
8	points to 30 basis points on our investment
9	account. It's still five basis points on the
10	operating account.
11	The accept of the changes in the rates
12	will have some effect on the budget,
13	preliminary estimates was maybe 10,000 lower
14	than we projected as far as that goes.
15	Also, I did want to mention that all
16	checks have been disbursed for payroll taxes
17	and any other requirement payments during the
18	month.
19	MR. BRAUN: Are there questions?
20	(No response.)
21	MR. BRAUN: Hearing none, a motion to
22	accept the CFO's report?
23	MR. POLLAKUSKY: So moved.
24	MS. SCHEIDT: Second.
25	MR. BRAUN: The motion by

2	Mr. Pollakusky, second by Ms. Scheidt.
3	Mr. Braun votes yes.
4	Ms. Scheidt?
5	MS. SCHEIDT: Yes.
6	MR. BRAUN: Mr. Callahan?
7	MR. CALLAHAN: Yes.
8	MR. BRAUN: Mr. Middleton?
9	MR. MIDDLETON: Yes.
10	MR. BRAUN: Mr. Pollakusky?
11	MR. POLLAKUSKY: Yes.
12	MR. BRAUN: Mr. Trotta?
13	MR. TROTTA: Yes.
14	MR. BRAUN: Motion carries.
15	Lisa?
16	MS. MULLIGAN: Yes.
17	MS. LaPONTE: This is Lori.
18	I just wanted to mention quickly to
19	everyone that we documented a COVID process
20	for disbursements for the IDA and just a brief
21	overview of what it is, all the bills are
22	scanned, Jocelyn sends the bill to Lisa for
23	approval, Lisa sends the electronic approval
24	to me with the bill, I disburse the check,
25	keep a copy of everything, send it to Lisa to

2	be signed and mailed and also I note this on
3	the bill copies.
4	MR. BRAUN: Thank you.
5	Any other questions for Lori?
6	(No response.)
7	MR. BRAUN: I'll turn it over to Lisa.
8	MS. MULLIGAN: Okay.
9	So we're going to take some things out
10	of order. We're going to skip over the 14
11	Glover, LLC resolution for right now.
12	The AVR Meadows at Yaphank resolution.
13	To remind the members, this is the Meadows at
14	Yaphank project on the northwest corner of
15	William Floyd and the expressway. This is a
16	housing project. Well, there's been a number
17	of phases of it. There's a housing portion of
18	it, there's an assisted living, a hotel and
19	additional housing and so they came to us;
20	Bill, do you want to explain what they're
21	doing with the roads?
22	MR. WEIR: Yes.
23	So now the one part of this project
24	that we did not finance was the Walmart
25	Superstore. That's ready to come in and in

2	connection with the roadways that they're
3	putting in to service all of the facilities
4	including the Walmart Superstore, we need
5	to they basically are reconveying the
6	roadways to the different parcels to
7	accommodate Walmart, so it's kind of more of
8	just a ministerial cleanup, but it's a bit
9	challenging because of all the different
10	leases and lease and project agreements and
11	PILOT agreements that we have in effect here
12	as well as all the mortgages, the legal
13	descriptions on all of those documents need to
1 4	be amended to reflect the roadway that's now
15	being ready to be I guess dedicated and put
16	into effect. It's not being dedicated to the
17	Town, they own it, but it's just cleaning up
18	so the road can be used by everybody is the
19	best way to do it and they're doing it this
20	way instead of an easement, not quite sure
21	why, but this is I guess what the title
22	company recommended, so it's been just
23	ministerial cleanups of the legal descriptions
2 4	for all of the AVR projects on the site.
25	MR. BRAUN: May I have a motion for

subtenant for the Holtsville Industrial

project. So this is the third subtenant and

actually this subtenant will mean that their

2.3

24

2	project is fully rented. They're related to
3	Land O' Lakes. They warehouse, crop and plant
4	nutrients, grain protectants, seed care,
5	fertilizers and weed killers.
6	We've reached out to our insurance rep
7	to find out if we need additional insurance
8	and I also reached out to the fire marshal
9	just to see if there's any issues with that.
10	I haven't heard back yet, but we'll make sure
11	that if anything additionally needs to be
12	done, we will.
13	They're taking about 29,000 square
14	feet. Like I said, it's warehousing space and
15	they're planning to create ten employees and
16	this is a subtenant for Holtsville Industrial.
17	Does anyone have any questions; we're
18	asking for you to accept the application?
19	MR. BRAUN: We need a motion to accept
20	the application.
21	MR. TROTTA: So moved.
22	MR. BRAUN: Mr. Trotta made the motion.
23	Who seconded it?
2 4	MR. POLLAKUSKY: Gary Pollakusky.
25	MR. BRAUN: Thank you, Gary.

25

1	
2	Lisa, just one question.
3	MS. MULLIGAN: Sure.
4	MR. BRAUN: This is fully leased now
5	within the framework of what we set out, I
6	mean from a timetable standpoint?
7	MS. MULLIGAN: Yeah, I'm pretty sure
8	that they are within what we asked. I think
9	they already were because I think they were
10	whatever the threshold we put, I don't
11	remember if it was 50 percent, but it was
12	something like that, I think they had already
13	met it, so I think we're good.
14	MR. TULLO: I think you gave 50 percent
15	within the first two years and this is a
16	hundred percent, so I think
17	MR. BRAUN: Thank you, Jim.
18	Any other questions?
19	(No response.)
20	MR. BRAUN: There's a motion on the
21	table.
22	Mr. Braun votes yes.
23	Ms. Scheidt?

MS. SCHEIDT: Yes.

MR. BRAUN: Mr. Callahan?

2	MR. CALLAHAN: Yes.
3	MR. BRAUN: Mr. Middleton?
4	MR. MIDDLETON: Yes.
5	MR. BRAUN: Mr. Pollakusky?
6	MR. POLLAKUSKY: Yes.
7	MR. BRAUN: Mr. Trotta?
8	MR. TROTTA: Yes.
9	MR. BRAUN: Motion carries.
10	MS. MULLIGAN: The next item on the
11	agenda is an application we received also in
12	your packets for Jefferson Ferry. As Bill
13	mentioned in our LDC meeting, we would be
14	discussing this in the IDA.
15	Jefferson Ferry is the assisted living
16	and senior housing project on 347 in Setauket.
17	This is an approximately \$120 million project
18	and they have about 350 employees and this
19	project is going to help them increase those
20	numbers. They're coming through the LDC, but
21	part of their facility is for profit, part of
22	it is not for profit, so the for profit
23	portion of it, they're requesting a PILOT and
24	that's what the application in front of you
25	reflects.

2		Bill,	did	you	have	anything	you	wanted
3	to add	?						

4 MR. WEIR: Yeah.

For historical record, when this project was originally financed through the Suffolk County IDA, was created, as a continuing care retirement community, there's really three components to a continuing care retirement community.

The first component are the independent living units and the second one is assisted living and the third is skilled nursing and the idea is you pay an entrance fee when you move in and then as you go from independent living units and then from independent living units, you get a whole range of services other than your apartment including meals and transportation and medical care and so forth with the idea that you can age in place where you can go from independent living to assisted living to skilled nursing and never have to leave the facility and this is one of I would say the best run CCR's not only in New York State, but in the country.

At the time we did this, Suffolk County
IDA did not want to put a PILOT on this
project and that really had more to do with
the fact that when they did the project out in
Greenport, Peconic Landing, they did not do a
PILOT and that was because the independent
living units there were apartments like you
have in New York City and the IDA did not
finance them, so for whatever reason, the
Suffolk IDA did not want to put a PILOT on
this project.

When the project was first completed, the assessor had basically made the entire project exempt for the first couple of years; the State thought it was all skilled nursing or not-for-profit owned property. After a couple of years they came back and assessed almost the entire project, they went overboard and so for the last 18 years, they've been trying to resolve this through the assessor's office. Under the Real Property Tax Law, property that's owned by a not-for-profit is generally exempt from real property taxes; however, independent living units owned by an

2	not-for-profit like here are subject to real
3	property taxes, so that's been we're trying
4	to split out the skilled nursing, the assisted
5	living and the other not-for-profit owned
6	property on here has been say a frustrating
7	exercise through the assessor's office over
8	the years going back several assessors, so in
9	going forward and they've had tax certs and
10	other claims trying to resolve it and they're
11	still ongoing.

What we have been talking to them about is they're going to lease all of the independent living units to a brand new LLC that they created, who's the applicant here.

The sole member of that LLC is the not-for-profit corporation, which is called Active Retirement Community, Inc. and then Jefferson Ferry is just a d/b/a, doing business as, name.

So the existing independent living units will be leased by Active Retirement to the new LLC and then the new independent living units as they're completed and constructed will also be leased to the LLC.

2	The LLC Will enter into a lease and project
3	agreement with the IDA for the independent
4	living units and then we can impose a PILOT on
5	those independent living units and then
6	everything will be leased back to the LLC and
7	it will be operated by the not-for-profit
8	because the sole member of the LLC is the
9	not-for-profit. This does not have any impact
10	on the taxes and bonds and it's allowed under
11	the current bond documents.

Lisa, do you want to explain the PILOT or do you want me to explain what we were thinking about --

MS. MULLIGAN: Go ahead, you can explain what we've been playing with.

MR. WEIR: What we thought we would do is whatever they're paying currently taxes on the independent living units will be for those the base PILOT payments going forward, so no taxing jurisdictions will be losing tax payments and then there will be incremental increases over the next, you know, the term of probably the bonds and then the new independent living units as they come on, they

2	will be phased in over the term of the PILOT
3	and they've requested a PILOT to be
4	coterminous with the bonds.

just trying to clarify and then the rest of the project then should be tax exempt and then so that the correct taxes will be paid on the independent living units, we're not trying to take away any tax revenues from the taxing jurisdiction, we're just trying to have things from both the Town and the school district and the County as well as the applicant have certainty of payment over the next however many years the PILOT goes out. That's what they've requested and proposed and that's what will be before the IDA board for final approval after we hold the public hearing.

Anybody have any questions on that; did I go too quickly?

MR. POLLAKUSKY: No, it makes sense.

MR. BRAUN: Mr. Weir, we don't need to establish and approve a PILOT today, that will be done after the public hearing; is that correct?

2	MR. WEIR: Well, it will be done in
3	conjunction with the public hearing, so
4	MR. BRAUN: Okay.
5	MR. WEIR: Lisa's been crunching the
6	numbers and working on it and talking to the
7	assessor, so she will have something that we
8	will have before we hold the public hearing.
9	MR. CALLAHAN: That is strictly for
10	accepting the application?
11	MS. MULLIGAN: And setting the public
12	hearing.
13	MR. CALLAHAN: And the public hearing,
14	correct.
15	MR. WEIR: Before the public hearing,
16	Lisa can circulate to the board members the
17	proposed PILOT.
18	MS. MULLIGAN: Yeah. If you guys want
19	to see it, definitely and it's always posted
20	on our website prior to the public hearing; is
21	that right what I just said, is that factual,
22	I think so?
23	MR. WEIR: Yes. Yes, you will have it
24	on the website.
25	MR. CALLAHAN: It looks like the new

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2	buil	dina	's .	pretty	hia.
_	ν α \perp \perp	9))	ρ_{\perp}	$\Sigma \pm 9$

3 MR. WEIR: The new building's going to 4 be very big.

5 MR. CALLAHAN: Yeah, 169,000 square 6 feet, wow.

MR. WEIR: Lisa has the very good schematic drawing where it shows the existing building and where they're building additions to it and renovating, so a lot of the existing space will be renovated and repurposed. It's really going to be . . . you know, they're taking what's a very nice facility and making it more user friendly for basically the next generation. This is now a generation old and so they've learned a lot in their years of operation and they've also gotten a lot of feedback from the residents of what people want and to be honest with you, it's another generation coming in, you know, before it was the greatest generation and now it's more baby boomers moving in there and what people want for these facilities is changing, so it's going to make this thing process for Jefferson Ferry, as I said and I know Jefferson Ferry

2	extremely well because even though they didn't
3	start there in the independent living units,
4	my mother was in the assisted living facility
5	and my father was in the skilled nursing, so I
6	have a lot of firsthand knowledge about how
7	well run this agency is and also understand
8	what they're doing and why and I think it's
9	going to be very positive for this community
10	that you're lucky to have in the Town of
11	Brookhaven.
12	MR. BRAUN: If there are no further
13	questions, I'll entertain a motion to accept
14	the application.
15	MR. POLLAKUSKY: So moved.
16	MR. BRAUN: Is there a second?
17	MR. TROTTA: Second.
18	MR. BRAUN: Thank you.
19	Mr. Braun votes yes.
20	Ms. Scheidt?
21	(No response.)
22	MR. BRAUN: Ms. Scheidt?
23	(No response.)
24	MR. BRAUN: Mr. Callahan?
25	MR. CALLAHAN: Yes.

_	
2	MR. BRAUN: Mr. Middleton?
3	MR. MIDDLETON: Yes.
4	MR. BRAUN: Mr. Pollakusky?
5	MR. POLLAKUSKY: Yes.
6	MR. BRAUN: Mr. Trotta?
7	MR. TROTTA: Yes.
8	MR. BRAUN: We'll circle back.
9	Ms. Scheidt?
10	MS. SCHEIDT: Yes. I got a phone call
11	in the middle of that and I couldn't figure
12	out how to get back to it.
13	MR. BRAUN: Thank you and the motion
14	carries.
15	MS. MULLIGAN: The next item on the
16	agenda under the application section is
17	Interstate Mechanical Services/William Realty
18	Holdings Group LLC.
19	This application was included in your
20	packets along with their cover letter and this
21	is an application for an existing building at
22	355 Sills Road. It's been vacant for a few
23	years now, I would say probably close to five,
24	but that's a guess on my part. It's an
25	approximately 58,000 square foot building,

2	it's a \$4.5 million project. They manufacture
3	pipe, piping materials. They are proposing to
4	leave leased space in Maspeth and they own a
5	site in New Jersey that they'll be retaining
6	and they told us that they may rent a portion
7	of 355 Sills to a third party, they're aware
8	that the subtenant would have to apply,
9	they're aware of our policies. They currently
10	have three employees and will add three more.
11	Now that's what will be in this
12	building. What they have in their entire
13	company is larger, but that's what would come
14	here.
15	Howard, did you have anything you
16	wanted to add?
17	MR. GROSS: No, I was just going to say
18	that in addition to piping, they also
19	fabricate mechanical systems, steel supports
20	and things of that nature.
21	MR. BRAUN: This qualifies under the
22	adaptive reuse policy?
23	MS. MULLIGAN: Yes.
24	MR. BRAUN: Thank you.
25	Questions?

MS. MULLIGAN: The next item on the

agenda is EB and HSRE-EB Mount Sinai's

recaptures.

So to remind everybody, this is the

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

project under construction in Mount Sinai on
the south side of 25A just east of 83.

They're two separate projects, but they're
both owned by Bristal, the EB, Engel Burman
company.

One is an assisted living and one is a senior housing project and I apologize, I mix them up sometimes. I'm pretty sure that HSRE-EB Mount Sinai is the senior housing. Both of these projects made mistakes on their sales tax exemption. They either went over their allocation or they made purchases before or after the allocation, before they had the allocation or after it had expired and it's not just the HSRE-EB company. They decided to name a large number of their subs as agents and so their subs made mistakes. For HSRE-EB Mount Sinai it was roughly \$19,000 of sales tax that needed to be repaid. We managed this, but it's really State and County money, so we had to recapture \$19,000. The agency doesn't keep any of this money and interest, there's interest and penalties as well, but it's not a huge number as far as the interest

2	and penalties is concerned, so we have to
3	recapture this money, disburse it to the State
4	and then the State disburses it to the County
5	and anybody else that gets a piece of it; I
6	think it's just the County.

So that, we sent them a demand letter, they paid us back. We did put in an invoice for the agency because this was a large -- it was a heavy lift to get this all organized and it's in all honesty not yet totally finalized because they're still sending us reports for some of the outstanding subs that, Terri, correct me if I'm mistaken, they were due January 31st?

MS. ALKON: Originally January 15th.

MS. MULLIGAN: January 15th.

So they were due January 15th and it's now May 13th and we're still trying to get these forms straightened out. Some of it is that they just didn't fill it out right and so we're going back to them to try to have the subs correct them. Some of them, what they provided is just unacceptable. It doesn't tell us what they actually did, so we are

2	still working on that and we're coming up
3	pretty soon to the next
4	MR. POLLAKUSKY: Do we have an
5	administrative fee schedule for heavy lifts
6	like this?
7	MS. MULLIGAN: Yes. It's \$250 per hour
8	with a minimum of an hour honestly. We
9	charged them I think \$2,000 on the HSRE-EB
10	Mount Sinai and that was extremely generous.
11	MR. POLLAKUSKY: Right. Okay. I'm
12	glad we're recapturing this, good.
13	MS. MULLIGAN: So like I was saying,
14	there's still additional documents that need
15	to be sent in and corrected for the January
16	required date and Terri, June 15th is the next
17	or is it July 15th?
18	MS. ALKON: Due to us July 15th.
19	MS. MULLIGAN: So July 15th they have
20	to give us the next set of documents. They
21	haven't figured out the first set or the last
22	set yet, so I'm a little bit uncomfortable
23	with this, but we have a conference call
24	scheduled for tomorrow to go over all of these
25	details to try and straighten this out. Terri

nas been working really hard to try and keep
this organized. Terri and I have had a number
of conference calls and meetings with them to
try to get them straightened out and Lori had
to do, it was like a forensic accounting
nightmare to figure out what it was that they
actually owed, so we got that. The \$19,000,
once that check clears, we'll disburse that to
the State as required, there's forms we had to
fill out, but then EB Mount Sinai, for that
one, they have approximately \$106,000 of sales
tax that per our calculations is still owed.
They disagree with us on our calculations, so
that's part of what we are going to meet with
them on tomorrow afternoon about, so hopefully
we'll get that straightened out and get that
check to the State soon, also.
The issue remains though they still

The issue remains, though, they still have not finalized the information they were supposed to send to us in January and now we're coming up on the July requirement. I'm just afraid that it's going to continue to fall out of control.

If we can't figure this out, I'm going

2	to come back to and well, maybe I should
3	ask just now, can we get a vote for the
4	authorization to send a default letter if our
5	meeting tomorrow doesn't go well?

MR. POLLAKUSKY: I think we should push for a date. I think we should push for a drop-dead date.

MS. MULLIGAN: Well, Gary, I didn't say that, but our demand letter said that the money was due to us yesterday.

MR. POLLAKUSKY: Okay.

MS. MULLIGAN: For HSRE-EB Mount Sinai, they sent the money. For the other one, they sent a portion of the money, what they think they actually owe. So we didn't deposit it, we're waiting, we're going to have this meeting tomorrow afternoon, but we did give them a hard deadline and I think that a default letter, if they're unable to get all of the stuff that was due in January to us, we could say you have a week, get it to us or whatever we can work out with them. I think after that, a default letter certainly will have a you must cure this in 30 days or

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2	whatever	the	documents	say.

MR. BRAUN: From my position, I would 3 prefer to wait till we see how the conference 4 5 call goes tomorrow. We've had two previous 6 projects with these people that went fine. 7 This one's a little bit bigger, a little bit more complicated and there's obviously some 9 mistakes made and there's a fourth one in 10 Patchoque if they move ahead with that, so I 11 think our experience generally has been good. 12 Rather than threaten them with a default at 13 this time, I prefer to wait till or suggesting we wait until after tomorrow's call and if we 14 15 do have a meeting on the 27th, we can take 16 action on that at that time. 17 Thoughts from anyone else?

MR. POLLAKUSKY: I would agree to that.

Lisa, is your request to the board to support a default letter with a time stamp in mind?

MS. MULLIGAN: My request to the board was just that if it doesn't go well tomorrow, do we have to wait for let's say May 27th or June 15th, whenever our June meeting is, to

2	actually send the default letter or can I get
3	a if this doesn't rectify, go ahead and send
4	the default letter, but we can wait, too; I
5	mean it's been since January that we've been
6	trying to get them to straighten this stuff
7	out.

MR. POLLAKUSKY: I mean I don't have a problem waiting through the May 27th date, see how that goes.

MS. MULLIGAN: Bill, do you have thoughts on this?

MR. WEIR: Yeah.

I think after our call tomorrow, we'll have a better understanding of where we're going with this and I think, I'm hoping that by the end of that call tomorrow, we can have this resolved, so I'm kind of anticipating that we're not going to a default, so I think maybe it would make more sense after our call tomorrow, we will recap and Lisa, you can even send out an email to the board letting everybody know how that call went and the resolution of some of these outstanding issues. So again, I'm cautiously optimistic

2	we	can	get	it	on	the	right	track	during	our
3	cal	ll to	omori	row.						

MS. MULLIGAN: Okay. So I'll send an overview to the board after our meeting and just outline next steps and what we're expecting.

MR. WEIR: That's right. And if for some reason we're complete loggerheads, we'll let everybody know that as well.

MS. MULLIGAN: Yes.

I think that Fred's point about them having another project coming up is a good one. They don't want to be at odds with us.

MR. WEIR: No and you know, we've done a lot of projects with them, they've also done them with every other IDA and this is the first time in 20 years working with Engel Burman I've ever seen issues like this, so and when I've talked to their counsel, Peter Curry, he also was very optimistic that this will get resolved and I know from what he told me, that the principals of Engel Burman are very concerned about it and they want to make sure it's resolved, so I'm very optimistic

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2	that	tomorrow'	s call	will	hopefully	resolve
3	most	of these	issues.	_		

4 MS. MULLIGAN: Okay.

So the next item on the agenda is our UTEP and I'm actually going to turn this over to Bill for Bill to do an overview.

MR. WEIR: Sure.

You know, this kind of took a bit of a -- we stepped back for a minute because one of the concerns I had was in the previous draft of the UTEP was that we were only going to give PILOTS if they were in certain areas that were in need of redevelopment or distressed areas and you know, so forth and the concern I had was that the PILOT, while trying to help redevelop those areas that needed redevelopment in the Town, this is PILOTS for housing, was that we would be violating either the federal or state fair housing acts where it's -- people are familiar with what happened in the City of Yonkers where their zoning rules and code at the time, all affordable housing projects had to be located in a certain part of the town that had

2	the effect of concentrating minority
3	populations in those areas and was considered
4	discriminatory and the federal government
5	basically put huge sanctions on the City of
6	Yonkers and federal courts put a monitor in
7	that basically replaced the planning
8	department for all planning in the City of
9	Yonkers and it's still in effect today.

We also received comments from at least one entity that thought we were doing the opposite, that we were identifying those areas which could have also have a disparate impact.

There's a number of cases that have gone to the U.S. Supreme Court on both sides of that argument and basically where it comes down is you need to be fairly neutral as to where you're trying to direct this type of housing, both market rate and affordable housing, so I've been working with one of my partners who's a national expert on this area and we've come up with we think a good solution and part of it, too, was in the UTEP, all the housing, whether it was affordable housing or market rate housing, was kind of

all jumbled into one section and it was a little hard to enunciate it.

So the first thing we did, we actually defined affordable housing and before we had affordable housing defined only under projects that could receive taxes and bond financing or low income housing credits, a fairly restrictive definition of affordable housing; again, working with my partner, we came up with a more experienced view of affordable housing to include other HUD programs and other programs including state and local programs that define affordable housing more broadly than if it was just eligible for tax exempt financing.

I also realized, even though we've talked about it over the years, we did not have assisted living in there, so we defined assisted living and then basically said the definition of market rate housing was market rate housing was all housing other than assisted living or affordable housing, so we kind of defined, instead of trying to define market rate housing, everything except those

2	two and then there's new paragraphs in the
3	UTEP for market rate housing, expanded what we
4	had and talking with Lisa and Fred, we said
5	market rate housing could receive a PILOT of
6	five to seven years, so we have a shorter
7	term again, this is reflecting comments we
8	received from taxing jurisdictions and some of
9	the board members that the market rate
10	housing would have a term of five to seven
11	years starting at the current taxes on the
12	property including land and any existing
13	structures or improvements and then ramping up
14	over the life of the PILOT and the term,
15	again, we made it clear that the term of the
16	PILOT was five to seven years and the six
17	PILOT payments would be in the sole discretion
18	of the IDA and then we had said that if the
19	market rate housing was going to be in one of
20	those specially designated areas that we
21	talked about previously in the UTEP, which
22	would have been a blighted area, a downtown,
23	just in downtown, areas that were part of an
24	urban renewal plan or areas that were
25	designated by the town or village for

development and then as well as a highly
distressed area as defined in the IDA act or
we also included an opportunity zone or former
Empire zone, then they may be, I wouldn't say
that they'd be granted, but they may be
eligible for an enhanced PILOT, which could be
for 13 to 15 years, which is kind of
consistent with what we were doing before we
imposed our moratorium and again, the idea
would be in those areas in need of
redevelopment, those special areas, that they
could get three to five years of frozen taxes
at the land only, which is, again, what we
were doing previously and then the remaining
ten years would be phased in at equivalent to
like a double 45 day and that would be for
market rate housing.
So market rate housing could be done

So market rate housing could be done anywhere in the Town, but if it's not in one of these areas in need of redevelopment, it would be a much shorter PILOT and then affordable housing could be done anywhere, get more expansive affordable housing and again, this is where we're really sensitive to the

federal Fair Housing Act and then basically saying what we had before would be two different type of PILOTS that we could generate, one would be a shelter rent PILOT, which for some affordable housing makes sense and that would be ten percent of gross revenues of the project minus utilities and that's a provision that's actually in the New York Multifamily Housing Law, to have that type of PILOT that there is discretion for that or if they request, we could do fixed PILOT payments and give them that option.

We said that the PILOTS for those would typically be 15-year PILOTS; however, if the project was financed with tax exempt bonds or low income housing tax credits or a federal or state entity was financing it and they put a tax regulatory agreement on the property that restricted the incomes for people who could live there and/or rents that could be charged, then the PILOT could go out for the term of that (inaudible) agreement or the financing agreement, like if you do a HUD financed agreement, HUD will say we'll impose in the

2	loan agreement those income and rent
3	restrictions that we'd say so long as that
4	agreement was in effect, the PILOT would be
5	longer and that's, again, consistent with what
6	we've done on other true, you know, affordable
7	housing projects.

And then the last component which we added was assisted living facilities and we said assisted living facilities would typically have a 15-year PILOT with fixed PILOT payments to be determined by the IDA and that, however, if the assisted living facility was financed with tax-exempt bonds and we've done that for say Engel Burman deals and also we'll have that for Jefferson Ferry, then the PILOT could be, but not required to be, could be, coterminous with the bond term.

And that was that and I just cleaned up a few other typos and mistakes in the UTEP.

But that was really the provisions that we've done and accepted, Lisa and Fred on coming up with that and then if you have any questions, Lisa can distribute the draft of the PILOT, consider the UTEP for your review and

2	consideration and then the idea would be we
3	would adopt it at the June meeting or whatever
4	the next board meeting.
5	Again, looking at we took what

was distributed to all the taxing
jurisdictions last year and we took into
account all the comments that were received
from tax jurisdictions, developers, their
counsel as well as the public and so at this
point, I don't think we need to resend it out
to anybody because I think what we're actually
adopting now is more restrictive than the UTEP
that was originally sent out last year as part
of the public hearing that we held.

MR. BRAUN: I would just ask the board members to review this very carefully.

Any questions you have, get them back to Lisa and she'll forward them on to Bill if necessary and the intent is whether it's at the May 27th or the June 17th meeting, we will adopt this.

MS. MULLIGAN: I'll send that around as soon as we're done with the meeting.

MR. WEIR: Again, it was a bit of a

2	balancing act to try to take all the
3	wide-ranging comments we had on the PILOT
4	particularly with respect to housing and then
5	make them not violate federal and state fair
6	housing laws. The last thing we wanted to do
7	was inadvertently wind up in a court case and
8	then if it is, if the UTEP is adopted at the
9	next board meeting, then the moratorium on
10	accepting new housing applications could be
11	lifted.
12	MS. MULLIGAN: Any questions?
13	(No response.)
14	MS. MULLIGAN: Okay.
15	The next item on the agenda is a letter
16	that we received from Global Tissue Group. It
17	was included in your packets.
18	Scott, do you need to jump off?
19	MR. MIDDLETON: Yeah, in about five
20	minutes.
21	MS. MULLIGAN: Okay.
22	MR. GROSS: Do you need him for 14
23	Glover?
24	MS. MULLIGAN: I was just going to ask,
25	Marty, Frank, Ann-Marie and Gary, you guys are

1 2 good to stay on? 3 MR. POLLAKUSKY: Yup, I'm good. MS. SCHEIDT: Yes, I'm here. 4 5 MR. CALLAHAN: Yes. 6 MR. TROTTA: Yes. 7 MS. MULLIGAN: Okay. 8 So, Scott, then we have enough without 9 you and without Fred to do the resolution for 10 14 Glover at the end. So, Bill, I don't know if you heard me, 11 12 but I said thank you for that UTEP overview. 13 The next item on the agenda is Global 14 Tissue Group. To remind everyone, this is an 15 existing project. They are on Long Island 16 Avenue south of the expressway and west of 17 Sills Road, just really a stone's throw west 18 of Sills Road. They make paper products; 19 tissues, toilet paper, napkin type items. 20 They have about 160,000 square feet and about

This year is the last year of their

PILOT, so they're wrapping up their tenth year

with us and they have opened a facility in

Florida and they have received offers from

185 employees.

21

22

23

24

2	Ohio; apparently one of their large
3	competitors just opened a facility in Ohio and
4	Ohio is trying to get them to come out there
5	as well.

They put in a request to get ten more years on their PILOT. What they asked for was five years at a hundred percent and then five years at 20 percent increases and we had them add in to their letter that they have started making — they're in the process of exploring and finalizing making masks for PPE, so that's in the letter and they're basically asking for an extension. They have said possibly down the road they might put an extension on their facility, they have the land, this is something that they've kicked around for a few years and they were renting space in the location next door.

Does anyone have any questions?

MR. BRAUN: Lisa and I and Bill, we yesterday discussed a suggested PILOT going forward that as Lisa indicated, they have been a good tenant, a good project with 185

employees. I'm not sure if you look at the

2	letter, how they could ever turn out 10,000
3	masks per minute, but I'll leave that to the
4	manufacturers and the suggested PILOT we
5	discussed was that one year continued at land
6	only and then for years two through six, ramp
7	up at 20 percent a year.
8	Some of you may also remember, it was
9	either last meeting or the meeting before
10	that, they refinanced their mortgage on that
11	building.
12	MS. MULLIGAN: Yes, thank you, Fred, I
13	meant to mention that.
1 4	MR. BRAUN: Any thoughts about the
15	PILOT from anyone?
16	(No response.)
17	MR. BRAUN: I would put that motion on
18	the table, if there's a second.
19	MR. TROTTA: Second.
2 0	MR. BRAUN: Thank you, Mr. Trotta.
21	Any other thoughts, comments?
22	(No response.)
23	MR. BRAUN: So I'll take a vote.
2 4	Mr. Braun votes yes.

Ms. Scheidt?

1 2. MS. SCHEIDT: Yes. MR. BRAUN: Mr. Callahan? 3 MR. CALLAHAN: Yes. 4 5 MR. BRAUN: Mr. Middleton, if you're 6 still there? 7 MR. MIDDLETON: Yeah and now I'm 8 leaving, good-bye. 9 MR. BRAUN: Thank you very much. 10 (Scott Middleton dropped off the call.) 11 MR. BRAUN: Mr. Pollakusky? 12 MR. POLLAKUSKY: Yes. 13 MR. BRAUN: Mr. Trotta? MR. TROTTA: Yes. 14 15 MR. BRAUN: Motion carries. 16 MS. MULLIGAN: Thank you. 17 Actually before I go onto the next item 18 on the agenda, I just want to circle back to 19 WinField Solutions. 20 I just wanted to let you know that in 21 additional to the insurance and the fire 22 marshal, I'm waiting on confirmation from 23 them. I just got an email during the meeting 24 from Holtsville Industrial. They're just

confirming to us that there's no cannabis

products involved in the seed and the plant products that they're going to have at the facility just because I wanted to make sure that our eyes are open to whatever products are actually in our building, so I just wanted to bring that to your attention, so I just wanted wanted to let you know, they're still working on it. The attorney for the project said that she was almost positive, but she would confirm, she didn't want to go out and say definitely not just in case.

So the next item on the agenda is Give & Go Prepared Foods. This was from our last meeting. We've received a letter, it was included in your packets explaining the transaction.

To remind you, Give & Go owns Uncle Wally's and they were all bought by the STORE Company, Fred mentioned it, that it was in the minutes from last meeting and then we heard that they had been purchased by Mondelez, so we reached out, we got a letter.

Bill, do you want to explain; my understanding is that this doesn't impact our

2	project at all and there's no impact on us,
3	but would you confirm that?
4	MR. WEIR: Sure.
5	The entity that we're leasing this
6	project to is remaining, you know, as is, not
7	changing. This change in ownership control
8	occurred several parents above our entity, so
9	what's really changed is the holding company
10	that owns the series of companies, the
11	corporations before you get down to our
12	entity. Our entity, the ownership and control
13	of that has not changed and is still the same
14	entity, so what happened here did not trigger
15	an event of default under our documents or
16	required the consent of the agency.
17	MS. MULLIGAN: Does anyone have any
18	questions?
19	(No response.)
20	MS. MULLIGAN: Okay.
21	MR. BRAUN: There's obviously no action
22	required.
23	MS. MULLIGAN: Right.
24	The next item on the agenda is the
25	Triple 5 default. To remind everyone, we were

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

having a hard time getting sales tax and insurance information from Triple 5; that's the project that purchased the former Dowling facility. We sent them a default letter.

They were able to get us everything. I think the deadline was April 25th, we got everything April 24th, so that has been rectified, so I just wanted to give you an update on that.

The next item on the agenda is the PARIS update. This is the exact same update -- it was included in your packets -that we presented in the LDC, just again, the ABO, I guess acknowledging that people have not put in their PARIS report and like I said for the LDC, I'd have to double check, but I think they can't really sanction us until it's like 36 months out and they've requested a certain number of times, so right now it's not ideal that we haven't put in our PARIS report, but it's also completely understandable and basically I'd be shocked if anybody has actually got their PARIS report in. I'm sure some have, but probably not agencies that have the number of projects and the intricacies

	4
1	
2	that we do.
3	Does anyone have any questions on the
4	PARIS update?
5	MR. TROTTA: Do you have an anticipated
6	date?
7	MS. MULLIGAN: I would love to tell you
8	when we were going to get it in because that
9	would mean that things were back to normal.
10	MR. TROTTA: Yeah, I hear you. I'm
11	just curious, but I certainly understand.
12	MS. MULLIGAN: Yeah. I would love to
13	say well, you know, we're going back in two
14	days and that at the 27th we'll have it ready
15	for you guys to vote on. I don't really have
16	an answer. I apologize.
17	MR. TROTTA: I got you.
18	MR. BRAUN: Other than the resolution
19	on 14 Glover, is there anything else that
20	needs to be brought before the board?

22

23

24

25

quickly.

MS. MULLIGAN: Yes. I have just three

Again, we're going to leave the May

things that I want to mention just very

27th meeting. I think that we have some

2	projects that would appreciate having that
3	meeting in between to get some applications
4	and get some things in front of the board. I
5	think we have probably a few days, maybe a
6	week before we actually have to decide on
7	that.
8	Is that agreeable to everybody if we
9	still hold that meeting?
10	MR. TROTTA: Yes.
11	MR. POLLAKUSKY: Sure.
12	MR. CALLAHAN: Sure.
13	MS. SCHEIDT: No problem.
14	MS. MULLIGAN: Thank you. Because
15	there's a couple of projects that have
16	expressed interest in getting us stuff between
17	now and the June 17th meeting, which I
18	apologize, I don't know why I can't get the
19	dates right on the bottom of the agendas.
20	It's June 17th, not the 15th, which is what I
21	wrote.
22	So there was that.
23	I just wanted to let everybody know
24	that our sales tax program is up, it's on the
25	website; apparently there is a typo on it, but

۷	we it correct that. But we have had a number
3	of phone calls from projects that were
4	interested in it, unfortunately so far
5	everyone who's reached out to us was
6	interested in using the sales tax exemption to
7	buy PPE for their employees, which is not, as
8	I understand it, the reason for the program,
9	but we have had some really good conversations
10	with companies. So far nobody has come
11	through us for it, but we've got, like we
12	said, Global Tissue is pivoting to produce PPE
13	and also Frank Lowe reached out to us
14	yesterday, they're going to start making face
15	shields. So they don't think that they need
16	our sales tax exemption, they don't think
17	they're going to need to purchase anything
18	right now, but they're aware of it; if that
19	changes, they're definitely going to come back
20	to us. And we've been encouraging some
21	people have called us who really should have
22	called the County, so we've had some activity
23	from it.
24	And the last thing I just wanted to

bring to your attention is that, I can't

remember how long ago, but a few months ago,
the ABO reached out to us, they must have been
doing an analysis of websites and they
reviewed our website. They said that
everything was as it should be, with the
exception of one project, we missed one
document. There was a very complicated
project and we did, we missed a document, they
were right. So we corrected it.

They have subsequently sent us sort of an overview, which I assume is going to go into some sort of a report saying basically that we missed a document.

We have a couple of edits to their document and they send in and ask if we have anything that they've missed, so we have a few things that need to be qualified on it, but I just wanted to let you know.

Considering that we have, I don't know, 80 something active projects and there's a lot of information that's required to be on the website, I was actually pretty impressed that there was one document missing out of everything that needed to be on there. It's

2	easy to miss something and some of them are
3	complicated and they're old and they're
4	convoluted and what they ask for didn't exist
5	then and Amy did a really good job gathering
6	all that information and getting it all on the
7	website, so thank you, Amy.
8	MR. BRAUN: The entire staff ought to
9	be congratulated; if for 85 or 90 projects and
10	some that have terminated, to have missed one
11	piece of information I think is terrific.
12	MS. MULLIGAN: Thank you, Fred.
13	MS. SCHEIDT: Couldn't agree more,
14	Fred, that is just phenomenal.
15	MS. MULLIGAN: It was a heavy lift.
16	MR. TROTTA: I wanted to say, how come
17	it was only one, you know.
18	MS. MULLIGAN: That was my feeling.
19	Take a minute and go to the website and
20	look on the projects page and you're going to
21	be very impressed and it's constantly
22	evolving, so after this meeting, there will be
23	additional resolutions that need to be posted.
2 4	At the next meeting, we'll have to post
25	applications and resolutions and then when a

2	project closes we have to it's an
3	ongoing evolution of documents that gets put
4	on there and quite frankly, I think probably
5	the staff and the attorneys use our website
6	more than anybody else. I don't think the
7	general public is really going to read through
8	the lease and project agreement for a project.
9	Maybe I'm wrong, but it actually has been
10	useful since we're home because I don't have
11	to go into GoToMyPC to get a document, I can
12	just go directly to the website because it's
13	quicker than going into GoToMyPC. So I guess
14	in that regard it's been somewhat useful, but
15	if you're interested, you could go check it
16	out.
17	So I just wanted to give you an update
18	on that and then I think the only other item
19	is the 14 Glover, LLC resolution and for that,
20	I'm going to ask Fred, would you drop off?
21	MR. BRAUN: I will leave the meeting.
22	Thank you. Thank you all for your
23	participation.
24	(Fred Braun dropped off the call.)
25	MS. MULLIGAN: I guess we'll just say

2	for the record that Fred has left the meeting,
3	it's 1:25 p.m. on May 13th.
4	So the next item on the agenda, the
5	last item, is a resolution for 14 Glover, LLC
6	The cost benefit analysis and the PILOT is in
7	the packets, we held a public hearing, there
8	was no comment.
9	Just to remind the board, what they
10	asked us for was an extension of their
11	completion date and an extension of their
12	employment, the date when they had to have
13	their employment numbers and also, they asked
14	us to waive their second half PILOT.
15	Howard, did you have anything you
16	wanted to add?
17	MR. GROSS: No, that's it. Thank you.
18	MS. MULLIGAN: Okay. So that's the
19	resolution.
20	Do we have a motion?
21	MR. POLLAKUSKY: So moved.
22	MS. MULLIGAN: Thank you, Gary.
23	Second?
24	MS. SCHEIDT: Second.

MS. MULLIGAN: Thank you, Ann-Marie.

1 2. So I'll do a quick roll call. 3 Marty? 4 MR. CALLAHAN: Yup. 5 MS. MULLIGAN: Frank? 6 MR. TROTTA: Yes. 7 MS. MULLIGAN: Ann-Marie? 8 MS. SCHEIDT: Yes. 9 MS. MULLIGAN: Gary? 10 MR. POLLAKUSKY: Yes. 11 MS. MULLIGAN: Was I supposed to ask 12 any questions before that; does anyone have questions? 13 14 (No response.) 15 MS. MULLIGAN: Hearing none, so moved. 16 Does anyone have anything else they 17 wanted to add to the discussion? 18 MR. TROTTA: Yeah. I just want to 19 thank you and the entire staff because I 20 recognize how difficult -- I'm working from 21 home just like everybody else is and I know

thank you and the entire staff because I
recognize how difficult -- I'm working from
home just like everybody else is and I know
how difficult it is, but the complexity of
some of the things that you guys work on and
to try to complete and keep things moving at
the same time is very much appreciated and I

2	certainly know I speak on behalf of everybody
3	on the board, so thank you.
4	MS. SCHEIDT: Thank you.
5	MS. MULLIGAN: Thank you. Thank you
6	guys. We couldn't do it without you guys and
7	actually Frank, I'm so glad that you said that
8	because it reminded me, we actually did a
9	closing through the mail, it hasn't yet
L 0	closed, there's an outstanding issue with the
1	State, but that was a process and an
12	experience and it really showed how when, you
13	know, that we needed to figure out a way to
4	make it work and we got everything done,
15	Annette was very helpful, everybody kind of
16	came together to get everything signed and
17	notarized and moved around and we used
L 8	technology and so what's the expression,
19	what's the mother of invention
20	MS. EADERESTO: Necessity.
21	MS. MULLIGAN: There you go. Necessity
22	is the mother of invention, so there we are.
23	MS. EADERESTO: Did we close, Lisa; I
2.4	missed the beginning of what you said?
25	MS. MULLIGAN: No, it hasn't closed.

1 2 MS. EADERESTO: No, still stuck in the 3 State. 4 MS. MULLIGAN: Yeah, New York State. 5 On that note, can we have a motion to 6 close the meeting? 7 MR. CALLAHAN: So moved. 8 MS. MULLIGAN: Thank you, Marty. 9 Second? 10 MS. SCHEIDT: Second. MS. MULLIGAN: Great. 11 12 All in favor? 13 MR. POLLAKUSKY: Aye. 14 MS. SCHEIDT: Aye. 15 MR. CALLAHAN: Aye. 16 MR. TROTTA: Aye. 17 MS. MULLIGAN: Thank you everyone. 18 (Time noted: 1:29 p.m.) 19 20 I, JOANN O'LOUGHLIN, a Notary Public for and within the State of New York, do hereby 22 certify that the above is a correct transcription

21

23 of my stenographic notes.

24

25

JOANN O'LOUGHLIN